



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 62964	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/EP2003/051002	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 décembre 2003 (15.12.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 décembre 2002 (17.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H03C 5/00		
Applicant THALES		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I Basis of the report
- II Priority
- III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV Lack of unity of invention
- V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI Certain documents cited
- VII Certain defects in the international application
- VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 05 juillet 2004 (05.07.2004)	Date of completion of this report 27 January 2005 (27.01.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/051002

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

the international application as originally filed

the description:

pages 1-11, as originally filed
 pages _____, filed with the demand
 pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

the claims:

pages _____, as originally filed
 pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19)
 pages _____, filed with the demand
 pages 1-12, filed with the letter of 12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)

the drawings:

pages 1/3-3/3, as originally filed
 pages _____, filed with the demand
 pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

the sequence listing part of the description:

pages _____, as originally filed
 pages _____, filed with the demand
 pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
 the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
 the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in written form.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
 The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
 The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages _____
 the claims, Nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/51002

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 4 194 154 A

1. D1 is the most relevant document cited in the international search report. It discloses features equivalent to those mentioned in the second paragraph of the description of the present application.

None of the cited documents discloses or suggests a correction method or a correction loop comprising the correction of an envelope parameter by means of a corrector obtained by searching, among predetermined values, for the value of the corrector corresponding to the minimum digital signal processing channel output signal out-of-band noise power, with correction depending on said corrector, as defined in independent claims 1 and 2.

This solves the problem addressed by the application, as expressed in the last paragraph of page 1 of the description, namely that of providing an alternative solution for correcting a digital signal from a digital signal processing channel, in which the use of the initial signal is not necessary.

Consequently, in the light of the cited prior art documents, independent claims 1 and 2 meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step according to PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3), respectively.

2. The dependent claims relate to useful embodiments of the subject matter as defined in the independent claims. Dependent claims 3 to 12 therefore also meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step according to PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3).

3. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 6.2(b), the claims contain reference signs that have not been placed between parentheses, for example the reference sign pc in the first line of claims 1 and 2 or the reference sign c in line 7 of claim 1.

The additional feature of dependent claim 11 does not meet the requirements of clarity of PCT Article 6, since the expression "Kahn's method" refers to a method that is not defined in the claims of the present application.